arXiv:1211.1450v1 [math.AG] 7 Nov 2012

Semi-continuity of Complex Singularity Exponents in Singular Central Fiber Cases

Yuchen Liu

November 8, 2012

Abstract

In this paper, we prove the semi-continuity of complex singularity exponents for holomorphic families in singular central fiber cases when the central fiber contains only simple-normal-crossing singularities. We also show that the integrals along fibers is continuous for holomorphic families in some 2-dimensional cases. Besides, a sequence of counterexamples to the semi-continuity property occur for non-holomorphic families.

Keywords: complex singularity exponent, semi-continuity property, singular central fiber.

1 Introduction

Complex singularity exponent is a quantitative measure of singularities of a holomorphic function. It was first introduced by Tian in [Tian89] in his study of the Calabi problem. We now recall the definition.

Let X be a complex manifold and g be a Hermitian metric on X. Let K be a compact subset of X and f be a holomorphic function defined on X.

Definition 1.1. *The complex singularity exponent of f on K is defined to be the nonnegative number*

$$c_K(f) = \sup\{c \geq 0 : \int_U |f|^{-2c} dV_g < +\infty \text{ for some open neighborhood } U \text{ of } K\}.$$

If $f(p) \neq 0$ for any $p \in K$, then we put $c_K(f) = +\infty$. If $f \equiv 0$ on X, then we put $c_K(f) = 0$.

If K only contains a single point p, we will use the notation $c_p(f)$ instead of $c_{\{p\}}(f)$. It is easy to see that $c_K(f) = \min_{p\in K} c_p(f)$.

Lower semi-continuity is a fundamental property of complex singularity exponent. It was Varchenko who first proved the semi-continuity of complex singularity exponents for holomorphic families in [Var83]. In [Tian89], Tian has shown that the finiteness of $\int |f(x,y)|^{-2c}dV$ is stable under holomorphic perturbation of $f(x,y)$ with small sup norms, which implies semi-continuity for continuous families in 2 dimensions. For arbitrary dimensions, Phong and Sturm proved the holomorphic stability of $\int |f(z,t)|^{-2c}dV$ for 1-parameter deformations in [PS00], which implies semi-continuity for 1-parameter holomorphic families. In [DK01], Demailly and Kollár proved semi-continuity of complex singularity exponents for plurisubharmonic functions, which contains holomorphic functions as special cases. Besides, their work generalized Tian's result about the stability of $\int |f|^{-2c}dV$ to arbitrary dimensions by applying Ohsawa-Takegoshi L^2 extension theorem.

Theorem 1.2 ([DK01], also [Tian89], [PS00])**.** *Let X be a complex manifold, and K is a compact subset of X. The map $\mathcal{O}(X) \ni f \mapsto c_K(f)$ is lower semi-continuous with respect to the topology of uniform convergence on compact sets (uniform convergence on a fixed neighborhood of K is of course enough). More explicitly, for every nonzero holomorphic function f, for every compact neighborhood L of K and every $\epsilon > 0$, there is a number $\delta = \delta(f, \epsilon, K, L) > 0$ such that*

$$\sup_L |g - f| < \delta \quad \Rightarrow \quad c_K(g) \geq c_K(f) - \epsilon.$$

Moreover, if $c < c_K(f)$ and g converges to f in $\mathcal{O}(X)$, then $|g|^{-2c}$ converges to $|f|^{-2c}$ in L^1 on some neighborhood U of K.

The purpose of this paper is to study the semi-continuity property of complex singularity exponents in singular central fiber cases. As far as I know, there is no known result in these cases. To begin with, Let X be a complex manifold with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X \geq 2$, $\pi : X \to \Delta$ be a surjective flat holomorphic map, where Δ is the open disk centered at 0 in $\mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{C}$ itself. Define $X_t := \pi^{-1}(t)$. We also suppose that for any $t \in \Delta \setminus \{0\}$, t is a regular value of π, hence X_t is smooth when $t \neq 0$. Besides, suppose only *simple-normal-crossing* singularities occur in X_0. Let p_0 be a singular point of X_0. Let $p_n \in X_{t_n}$ be a sequence of points, such that $t_n \neq 0$, $\lim_{n\to\infty} t_n = 0$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} p_n = p_0$.

In this paper, we prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1.3 (Main Theorem)**.** *Suppose $F : X \to \mathbb{C}$ is a holomorphic function and $f_n = F|_{X_{t_n}}$, $f_0 = F|_{X_0}$, then the inequality*

$$c_{p_0}(f_0) \leq \varliminf_{n\to\infty} c_{p_n}(f_n)$$

always holds.

The proof of main theorem relies largely on Theorem 3.1, a fibration version of the Hironaka resolution theorem. By Theorem 3.1, we can choose a log resolution of $(X, V(F))$ to get a family of log resolutions of (X_t, V_t). Then $c_{p_n}(f_n)$ can be easily computed by these log resolutions and the adjunction formula.

Moreover, we obtain a stronger form of the main theorem by applying the ACC for the log canonical threshold (cf. [dFLM09], [dFLM11] and [HMX12]):

Theorem 1.4 (Stronger form of the main theorem)**.** *Suppose* $F : X \to \mathbb{C}$ *is a holomorphic function and* $f_t = F|_{X_t}$*. Choose* $p_t \in X_t$ *such that* $\lim_{t\to 0} p_t = p_0$*, then there exists* $\delta > 0$ *such that*

$$c_{p_0}(f_0) \leq c_{p_t}(f_t) \text{ for any } |t| < \delta.$$

We also show the continuity of integrals along fibers for some 2-dimensional cases.

Theorem 1.5. *Suppose* $X = D_0(R_0, R_0)$, $\Delta = B_0(R_0^2)$ *where* $R_0 > 0$*. Suppose* π *is defined by* $\pi(x, y) = xy$*. Suppose* F *is irreducible in* $\mathbb{C}\{x, y\}$*. Then for any* $0 < c < c_0(f_0)$ *and* $f_t = F|_{X_t}$*, there exists* $R > 0$ *and* $U = D_0(R, R)$ *such that*

$$\lim_{t\to 0} \int_{U_t} \frac{dV_t}{|f_t|^{2c}} = \int_{U_0} \frac{dV_0}{|f_0|^{2c}}.$$

The key idea of proof is to divide the integral domain to three separately parts, then apply Theorem 1.2 and Lemma 4.2.

When turning to non-holomorphic families, the semi-continuity property may fail to hold as the following example shows:

Example 1.6 (Counterexample in non-holomorphic families)**.** *Let* $X = \mathbb{C}^2$, $\Delta = \mathbb{C}$, $\pi(x, y) = xy$*. Let* $F(x, y) = x + y - 2\sqrt{|xy|}$, $t_n = \frac{1}{n^2}$, $f_n(x, y) = F|_{X_{t_n}}$, $p_n = (\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n})$, $p_0 = (0, 0)$*. Then* $c_{p_0}(f_0) = 1$*, whereas* $c_{p_n}(f_n) = \frac{1}{2}$*. Therefore, in this case we have*

$$1 = c_{p_0}(f_0) > \varliminf_{n\to\infty} c_{p_n}(f_n) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

which means that complex singularity exponents are NOT lower semi-continuous with respect to t*. Here* $F \in C^{\frac{1}{2}}_{loc}(\mathbb{C}^2)$*.*

The proofs of the main theorem and its stronger form will be given in Section 3. In Section 2, we will introduce the concept of log canonical threshold and discuss its basic properties and equivalence with complex singularity exponents. In Section 4, we will present the proof of Theorem 1.5. Uniform upper bounds for integrals along fibers for any $F \in \mathbb{C}\{x, y\}$ will be given as a direct corollary of Theorem 1.5. In Section 5, we construct a sequence of non-holomorphic examples (see Example 5.2) which do not satisfy the lower semi-continuity property besides Example 1.6. The key idea of construction is to find suitable homogeneous polynomials of $\sqrt{x}$ and $\sqrt{y}$. The families in Example 5.2 are $C^{n+\frac{1}{2}}_{loc}$ for arbitrary large n but not C^∞.

Acknowlegdements: I would like to thank my thesis advisor Professor Gang Tian for giving me this problem and for his continuous support. I thank Professor Chenyang Xu for his useful comments and helps on the ACC for the log canonical threshold and related topics in algebraic geometry. I thank my teachers Professor Jinxing Cai and Professor Xiang Ma for their interest in this work and for their encouragements. I also thank my friends Yalong Shi, Alberto Della Vedova and Feng Wang for many helpful discussions.

2 Preliminaries on log canonical threshold

In this section, we will mainly discuss the log canonical threshold — an algebraic counterpart of the complex singularity exponent. The following discussion will provide us methods from algebraic geometry to compute complex singularity exponent.

The log canonical threshold is a fundamental invariant in birational geometry. It was Shokurov who introduced it in the context of birational geometry in [Sho92]. Next, we give the definition of log canonical threshold for Cartier divisors.

Definition 2.1 ([Kol97] 8.1)**.** *Let X be a normal algebraic variety with at worst log canonical singularities and let D be an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor on X. The log canonical threshold of (X, D) at point $p \in X$ is defined by*

$$lct_p(X, D) = \sup\{c | (X, cD) \textit{ is log canonical in an open neighborhood of } p\}.$$

If $D = (f = 0)$ then we always use the notation $lct_p(f)$ for $lct_p(X, D)$.

For smooth varieties, we have an elegant equivalence between complex singularity exponent and log canonical threshold. (See Section 2 in [Kol08] for a detailed discussion.)

Proposition 2.2 ([Kol97] 8.2)**.** *Let X be a smooth variety over $\mathbb{C}$, $p \in X$ a point and f a nonzero regular function on X. Then*

$$lct_p(f) = \sup\{c : |f|^{-2c} \textit{ is locally } L^1 \textit{ near } p\}.$$

which means $lct_p(f) = c_p(f)$.

In order to compute log canonical threshold in general, we introduce the definition of *relative canonical class* first.

Definition 2.3 ([dFLM11] A.11)**.** *Let R be a commutative ring with identity. Suppose that $g : Y \to X$ is a proper birational morphism of schemes over R, with Y nonsingular. If rK_X is Cartier, then there is a unique $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $K_{Y/X}$ supported on the exceptional locus of g such that rK_Y and $g^*(rK_X) + rK_{Y/X}$ are linearly equivalent. If X is nonsingular, then $K_{Y/X}$ is effective and its support is the exceptional locus $Ex(g)$. We call $K_{Y/X}$ the relative canonical divisor.*

Next proposition provide us to compute log canonical threshold by resolution of singularities.

Proposition 2.4 ([Kol97] 8.5)**.** *Let X be a normal algebraic variety with at worst log canonical singularities and let f be a nonzero regular function on X. Let $p \in X$ be a point. Let $g : Y \to X$ be a proper birational morphism where Y is smooth and X is $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein. We may write*

$$K_{Y/X} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} k_i E_i \textit{ and } V(f \circ g) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i E_i.$$

where E_i are different prime divisors on Y. Then we have

$$lct_p(f) \leq \min_{i : p \in g(E_i)} \frac{k_i + 1}{a_i}.$$

The equality holds if $\sum_i E_i$ is a simple-normal-crossing divisor, i.e. $g : Y \to X$ is a log resolution of $V(f)$. In particular, $lct_p(f) \in \mathbb{Q}$.

We finish this Section by giving some basic properties of log canonical threshold and complex singularity exponent.

Example 2.5. *Suppose X is a Riemann surface, then by Proposition 2.4 $c_p(f) = lct_p(f) = \frac{1}{ord_p(f)}$.*

Example 2.6. *Suppose X is a smooth variety, $V(f)$ is a prime divisor without any singularity, then by Proposition 2.4 we have $c_p(f) = lct_p(f) = 1$ for any $p \in V(f)$.*

Proposition 2.7 ([Mus11] 1.6)**.** *For any $p \in V(f)$, we have $0 \leq c_p(f) = lct_p(f) \leq 1$.*

At the end of this section, we give the following definition of complex singularity exponents on the central fiber:

Definition 2.8. *Let Z be a reduced analytic space with irreducible components $Z_0, \cdots, Z_k$, where every Z_i is a smooth analytic space. Let f be a regular function on X. For any $p \in V(f)$, the complex singularity exponent of f at p is defined as*

$$c_p(f) := \min_{i: p \in Z_i} c_p(f|_{Z_i}).$$

3 Proof of the main theorem

The key to the proof of the main theorem is the following fiberation version of the Hironaka resolution theorem:

Theorem 3.1 ([KM98] 0.3)**.** *Let $f : X \to C$ be a flat morphism of a reduced algebraic variety over $\mathbb{C}$ (or a suitably small neighborhood of a compact set of a reduced analytic space) to a non-singular curve C and $B \subset X$ a divisor. Then there exists a projective birational morphism $g : Y \to X$ from a non-singular Y such that $Ex(g) + g^*B + (f \circ g)^*(c)$ is an simple-normal-crossing divisor for all $c \in C$, here $Ex(g)$ represents the exceptional divisor of g.*

Let $V := V(F)$ be the principal divisor of F on X. By Theorem 3.1 above, we may choose a complex manifold Y and a bimeromorphic morphism $g : Y \to X$ such that $Ex(g) + g^*V + (\pi \circ g)^*(t)$ is simple-normal-crossing for every $t \in \Delta$. For simplicity, denote $\widetilde{\pi} = \pi \circ g$, $Y_t = \pi^{-1}(t)$, $\widetilde{V} = g^*V$, $g_t = g|_{Y_t} : Y_t \to X_t$. Thus, $Ex(g) + g^*V + Y_t$ is simple-normal-crossing for every $t \in \Delta$.

Since a divisor is a finite $\mathbb{Z}-$linear combination of prime divisors, we may assume that both $Ex(g)$ and $\widetilde{V}$ do not contain any irreducible component of Y_t whenever $t \neq 0$ and $|t| < \epsilon_0$ for some $\epsilon_0 > 0$. Denote $V_t = V|_{X_t}$ and $\widetilde{V_t} = \widetilde{V}|_{Y_t}$.

The proof is based on the computation of $c_{p_n}(f_n)$ and $c_{p_0}(f_0)$ Next, we shall give several lemmas to compute $c_{p_n}(f_n)$ and $c_{p_0}(f_0)$.

Lemma 3.2. *For any $|t| < \epsilon_0$ and $t \neq 0$, $g_t : Y_t \to X_t$ gives a log resolution $(Y_t, \widetilde{V_t})$ of (X_t, V_t).*

Proof. By definition of $Ex(g)$ we have $g : Y - Ex(g) \to X - g(Ex(g))$ is an isomorphism. By restriction of g to each fiber, we obtain isomorphisms $g_t : Y_t - (Ex(g) \cap Y_t) \to X_t - (g(Ex(g)) \cap X_t)$. We need to show $g_t : Y_t \to X_t$ is a bimeromorphic morphism for any $|t| < \epsilon$ and $t \neq 0$.

Since $g : Y \to X$ is a bimeromorphic morphism, the codimension of $g(Ex(g))$ in X must greater than 1, hence $g(Ex(g))$ does not contain any irreducible component of X_t for any $t \in \Delta$. Therefore, $X_t - (g(Ex(g)) \cap X_t)$ is a Zariski open subset of X_t for any $t \in \Delta$. On the other hand, since $Ex(g)$ does not contain any irreducible component of Y_t, so $Y_t - (Ex(g) \cap Y_t)$ is also Zariski open in Y_t. Thus, $g_t : Y_t \to X_t$ is a bimeromorphic morphism for any $|t| < \epsilon$ and $t \neq 0$.

Next we will prove this lemma. Assume $|t| < \epsilon$ and $t \neq 0$. Since X_t is irreducible, g_t is a bimeromorphic morphism, we have Y_t is also irreducible. Moreover, by Hironaka resolution theorem, we have $Ex(g) + \widetilde{V} + Y_t$ is simple-normal-crossing, so Y_t is itself simple-normal-crossing, thus smooth. By the transversal intersection property, we have $Ex(g)|_{Y_t} + \widetilde{V_t}$ is simple-normal-crossing. Since $Ex(g_t) \subset (Ex(g) \cap Y_t)$, $Ex(g_t) + \widetilde{V_t}$ is also simple-normal-crossing. Therefore, $(Y_t, \widetilde{V_t})$ is a log resolution of (X_t, V_t), hence we prove the lemma. ☐

According to the lemma above, we calculate $c_{p_n}(f_n)$ explicitly by the adjunction formula in the following lemma:

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose* $\widetilde{V} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i E_i$, $K_{Y/X} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} k_i E_i$, *where* a_i, k_i *are integers,* $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^{m}$ *are different prime divisors on* Y. *If* $|t_n| < \epsilon_0$, *then we have*

$$c_{p_n}(f_n) = \min_{i : p_n \in g(E_i)} \frac{k_i + 1}{a_i}.$$

Proof. Assume $|t| < \epsilon$ and $t \neq 0$, then we have

$$\widetilde{V_t} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i (E_i)_t,$$

where $(E_i)_t = E_i|_{Y_t}$. Next we shall calculate K_{Y_t/X_t}.

For any $q \in Y_t$, denote $p := g(q) \in X_t$. Since π is regular at p, we may choose a local coordinate $(x_1, \cdots, x_n)$ of X near p such that $\pi(x_1, \cdots, x_n) = x_n$. Moreover, we have $Y_t = g^* X_t$ and Y_t is smooth, so $\widetilde{\pi}$ is regular at q, thus we may choose a local coordinate $(y_1, \cdots, y_n)$ of Y near q such that $\widetilde{\pi}(y_1, \cdots, y_n) = y_n$. When $x_n = t$ and $y_n = t$, $(x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1})$ and $(y_1, \cdots, y_{n-1})$ give the local coordinates of Y_t and X_t respectively.

Under these local coordinates, we have $g(y_1, \cdots, y_n) = (x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1}, y_n)$ since $\widetilde{\pi} = \pi \circ g$. Therefore, we have

$$\frac{\partial(x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1}, x_n)}{\partial(y_1, \cdots, y_{n-1}, y_n)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial(x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1})}{\partial(y_1, \cdots, y_{n-1})} & \frac{\partial(x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1})}{\partial y_n} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence we have

$$\det\left(\frac{\partial(x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1}, x_n)}{\partial(y_1, \cdots, y_{n-1}, y_n)}\right) = \det\left(\frac{\partial(x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1})}{\partial(y_1, \cdots, y_{n-1})}\right),$$

which is equivalent to $\operatorname{Jac} g = \operatorname{Jac} g_t$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} K_{Y_t/X_t} &= (\operatorname{Jac} g_t = 0) \\ &= (\operatorname{Jac} g = 0)\big|_{Y_t} \\ &= K_{Y/X}\big|_{Y_t}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$K_{Y_t/X_t} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} k_i (E_i)_t.$$

It might happen that $(E_i)_t$ is reducible, and has several components. However, for any $i \neq j$ since $E_i + E_j + Y_t$ is simple-normal-crossing, so $\operatorname{codim}_{Y_t}(E_i \cap E_j \cap Y_t) \geq 2$, hence $(E_i)_t$ and $(E_j)_t$ have no component in common, for otherwise $\operatorname{codim}_{Y_t}(E_i \cap E_j \cap Y_t) = 1$.

Therefore, by Proposition 2.4, for any $p \in X_t$ we have

$$c_p(F|_{X_t}) = \min_{i: p \in g(E_i) \cap Y_t} \frac{k_i + 1}{a_i}.$$

Hence

$$c_{p_n}(f_n) = \min_{i: p_n \in g(E_i) \cap Y_{t_n}} \frac{k_i + 1}{a_i}.$$

□

Next, let us compute $c_{p_0}(f_0)$. Suppose $X_0 = X_0^{(1)} \cup \cdots \cup X_0^{(k)}$, $Y_0 = Y_0^{(1)} \cup \cdots \cup Y_0^{(l)}$, where $X_0^{(i_1)}$ and $Y_0^{(j_1)}$ are different components of X_0 and Y_0. Similarly, we have $g_0 : Y_0 - (Ex(g) \cap Y_0) \to X_0 - (g(Ex(g)) \cap X_0)$ is isomorphism, and $\operatorname{codim}_{X_0}(g(Ex(g)) \cap X_0) \geq 1$. Hence for any $1 \leq i_1 \leq k$, there exists a unique $1 \leq j_1 \leq l$, such that $g_0^{(j_1)} : Y_0^{(j_1)} \to X_0^{(i_1)}$ is a bimeromorphic morphism, where $X_0^{(i_1)}$ and $Y_0^{(j_1)}$ are smooth.

Without lose of generality, we may assume $j_1 = i_1$, that is, for any $1 \leq j \leq k$, $g_0^{(j)} : Y_0^{(j)} \to X_0^{(j)}$ is a bimeromorphic morphism.

Suppose V and X_0 have no components in common, otherwise $c_{p_0}(f_0) = 0$ and the inequality automatically holds. As a result, $\widetilde{V}$ does not contain $Y_0^{(j)}$. Therefore, we have

$$\widetilde{V}_0^{(j)} := \widetilde{V}|_{Y_0^{(j)}} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i (E_i)_0^{(j)},$$

where $(E_i)_0^{(j)} := E_i|_{Y_0^{(j)}}$.

Using the same argument in the proof of Lemma 3.3, we also have $(Y_0^{(j)}, \widetilde{V}_0^{(j)})$ a log resolution of $(X_0^{(j)}, V_0^{(j)})$. Next lemma compute the relative canonical class of $(Y_0^{(j)}, X_0^{(j)})$.

Lemma 3.4. *For any* $1 \leq j \leq k$*, we have*

$$K_{Y_0^{(j)}/X_0^{(j)}} = K_{Y/X}\big|_{Y_0^{(j)}} + \left(Y_0^{(j)} - g^* X_0^{(j)}\right)\big|_{Y_0^{(j)}}.$$

Proof. With no lose of generality, we only need to prove the lemma for $j = 1$, i.e.

$$K_{Y_0^{(1)}/X_0^{(1)}} = K_{Y/X}\big|_{Y_0^{(1)}} + \left(Y_0^{(1)} - g^* X_0^{(1)}\right)\big|_{Y_0^{(1)}}.$$

Assume

$$g^*(X_0^{(1)}) = Y_0^{(1)} + \sum_{i=k+1}^{l} h_i Y_0^{(i)},$$

here h_i be a nonnegative integer. For any fixed $q \in Y_0^{(1)}$, assume without lose of generality that $q \notin Y_0^{(k+1)}, \cdots, Y_0^{(l-s)}$, and $q \in Y_0^{(l-s+1)}, \cdots, Y_0^{(l)}$ where $s \leq l - k$ is a nonnegative integer.

Since Y_0 is simple-normal-crossing, we may choose local coordinates $(y_1, \cdots, y_n)$ of Y near q such that $Y_0^{(l-i+1)} = (y_{n-i} = 0)$ for any $1 \leq i \leq s$ and $Y_0^{(1)} = (y_n = 0)$. We may also choose local coordinates $(x_1, \cdots, x_n)$ of X near p, and a holomorphic function π_1 near p such that $X_0^{(1)} = (\pi_1 = 0)$. So $(y_1, \cdots, y_{n-1})$ gives a local coordinate of $Y_0^{(1)}$.

For simplicity, Denote $\widetilde{\pi}_1 := \pi_1 \circ g$. Let A be the matrix $\left(\frac{\partial(x_1,\cdots,x_n)}{\partial(y_1,\cdots,y_n)}\right)$, and let B_i be the matrix $\left(\frac{\partial(x_1,\cdots,\widehat{x_i},\cdots,x_n)}{\partial(y_1,\cdots,y_{n-1})}\right)$.

By the formula above, in a sufficiently small neighborhood U_q of q we have

$$g^*(X_0^{(1)})\big|_{U_q} = Y_0^{(1)}\big|_{U_q} + \sum_{i=1}^{s} h_{l-i+1} Y_0^{(l-i+1)}\big|_{U_q},$$

so we may choose a proper π_1 such that

$$\widetilde{\pi}_1(y_1, \cdots, y_n) = y_n \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{s} y_{n-i}^{h_{l-i+1}}.$$

For any $1 \leq i \leq n$, define ω to be a $(n-1)$-form on $(X_0^{(1)})_{\text{reg}}$, the regular set of $X_0^{(1)}$, as

$$\omega = (-1)^i \left(\frac{\partial \pi_1}{\partial x_i}\right)^{-1} dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dx_i} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n.$$

It is easy to check ω is well-defined, and it has no zeroes or poles near p in $X_0^{(1)}$. Hence we have

$$\left(g_0^{(1)}\right)^* \omega = \operatorname{Jac} g_0^{(1)} dy_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dy_{n-1}.$$

As a result, for any $1 \leq i \leq n$, we have

$$\operatorname{Jac} g_0^{(1)} = (-1)^i \left(\frac{\partial \pi_1}{\partial x_i}\right)^{-1} \cdot \det B_i,$$

which is equivalent to

$$\det B_i = (-1)^i \frac{\partial \pi_1}{\partial x_i} \cdot \operatorname{Jac} g_0^{(1)}.$$

The Laplace expansion along the n-th column of A yields:

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Jac} g &= \det A \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{n-i} \frac{\partial x_i}{\partial y_n} \cdot \det B_i \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{n-i} \frac{\partial x_i}{\partial y_n} \cdot (-1)^i \frac{\partial \pi_1}{\partial x_i} \cdot \operatorname{Jac} g_0^{(1)} \\
&= (-1)^n \operatorname{Jac} g_0^{(1)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \pi_1}{\partial x_i} \cdot \frac{\partial x_i}{\partial y_n} \\
&= (-1)^n \operatorname{Jac} g_0^{(1)} \cdot \frac{\partial \widetilde{\pi}_1}{\partial y_n} \\
&= (-1)^n \operatorname{Jac} g_0^{(1)} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial y_n} \left(y_n \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{s} y_{n-i}^{h_{l-i+1}} \right) \\
&= (-1)^n \operatorname{Jac} g_0^{(1)} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{s} y_{n-i}^{h_{l-i+1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
K_{Y/X}\big|_{Y_0^{(1)}} &= (\operatorname{Jac} g = 0)\big|_{Y_0^{(1)}} \\
&= (\operatorname{Jac} g_0^{(1)} = 0) + \sum_{i=1}^{s} h_{l-i+1} \cdot (y_{n-i} = 0)\big|_{Y_0^{(1)}} \\
&= K_{Y_0^{(1)}/X_0^{(1)}} + \sum_{i=l-s+1}^{l} h_i Y_0^{(i)}\big|_{Y_0^{(1)}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since the equation above is near q, we have in general

$$\begin{aligned}
K_{Y/X}\big|_{Y_0^{(1)}} &= K_{Y_0^{(1)}/X_0^{(1)}} + \sum_{i=k+1}^{l} h_i Y_0^{(i)} \\
&= K_{Y_0^{(1)}/X_0^{(1)}} + \left(g^*(X_0^{(1)}) - Y_0^{(1)} \right) \big|_{Y_0^{(1)}}.
\end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to

$$K_{Y_0^{(1)}/X_0^{(1)}} = K_{Y/X}\big|_{Y_0^{(1)}} + \left(Y_0^{(1)} - g^* X_0^{(1)} \right) \big|_{Y_0^{(1)}}.$$

Hence we prove the lemma. □

Based on these lemmas, we need only to compute $c_{p_0}(f_0)$. This will be done in the following proof:

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Since $Y_0^{(j)}$ is a component of effective divisor $g^*X_0^{(j)}$, so we have $Y_0^{(j)} - g^*X_0^{(j)} \leq 0$, hence by Lemma 3.4 we have

$$K_{Y_0^{(j)}/X_0^{(j)}} \leq K_{Y/X}\big|_{Y_0^{(j)}} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} k_i (E_i)_0^{(j)}.$$

By Proposition 2.4, we have

$$c_{p_0}(F|_{Y_0^{(j)}}) \leq \min_{i: p_0 \in g(E_i)\cap X_0^{(j)}} \frac{k_i + 1}{a_i}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} c_{p_0}(f_0) &= \min_{1\leq j\leq k} c_{p_0}(F|_{Y_0^{(j)}}) \\ &\leq \min_{1\leq j\leq k} \left\{ \min_{i: p_0 \in g(E_i)\cap X_0^{(j)}} \frac{k_i + 1}{a_i} \right\} \\ &= \min_{p_0 \in g(E_i)\cap X_0} \frac{k_i + 1}{a_i}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, to prove Theorem 1.3, we need only to show

$$\min_{p_0 \in g(E_i)\cap X_0} \frac{k_i + 1}{a_i} \leq \varliminf_{n\to\infty} c_{p_n}(f_n).$$

Since $c_{p_n}(f_n)$ belongs to the finite set $\{\frac{k_i+1}{a_i} | 1 \leq i \leq m\}$, there exists $1 \leq m_0 \leq m$ such that

$$\varliminf_{n\to\infty} c_{p_n}(f_n) = \frac{k_{m_0} + 1}{a_{m_0}},$$

and for infinitely many n we have p_n belongs to $g(E_{m_0}) \cap X_{t_n}$ hence belongs to $g(E_{m_0})$.

Since p_n converge to p_0, we have p_0 belongs to $g(E_{m_0})$ hence belongs to $g(E_{m_0}) \cap X_0$. Hence

$$\min_{p_0 \in g(E_i)\cap X_0} \frac{k_i + 1}{a_i} \leq \frac{k_{m_0} + 1}{a_{m_0}} = \varliminf_{n\to\infty} c_{p_n}(f_n).$$

Hence we prove Theorem 1.3. □

The key to the proof of Theorem 1.4 is the ACC for the log canonical threshold, where ACC stands for the ascending chain condition. The ACC for the log canonical threshold was conjectured by Shokurov in [Sho92]. When the dimension is three, [Kol94] proves that 1 is not an accumulation point from below and the ACC for the log canonical threshold follows from the results of Alexeev in [Ale94]. Recently, the ACC Conjecture was proved by T. de Fernex, L. Ein, and M. Mustaţă for complete intersections in [dFLM09] and even when X belongs to a bounded family in [dFLM11]. More recently, it was proved for arbitrary varieties by C. Hacon, J. McKernan and C. Xu in [HMX12].

Theorem 3.5 ([dFLM09], also [dFLM11], [HMX12]). *For every n, the set $\mathcal{T}_n$ of all complex singularity exponents $c_p(f)$, where f is a holomorphic function on an n-dimensional complex manifold X and $p \in V(f)$, satisfies ACC.*

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Suppose the conclusion is false, then we can choose a sequence $t_k \in \Delta$ with $\lim_{k\to\infty} t_k = 0$, such that $c_{p_{t_k}}(f_{t_k}) < c_{p_0}(f_0)$. By Theorem 1.3, we have

$$\varliminf_{k\to\infty} c_{p_{t_k}}(f_{t_k}) \geq c_{p_0}(f_0).$$

Thus we can choose a subsequence $\{s_l\}$ of $\{t_k\}$ such that $\{c_{p_{s_l}}(f_{s_l})\}$ is a strictly increasing sequence, which contradicts Theorem 3.5. □

4 Stability of integrals along fibers

Recall that Theorem 1.2 not only proves the lower semi-continuity property of complex singularity exponents, but also shows the stability of integrals with respect to continuous parameters. In this section, we present the proof of Theorem 1.5, which shows the stability of integrals along fibers in some 2-dimensional cases.

We fix some notations throughout this section. Suppose $X = D_0(R_0, R_0)$, $\Delta = B_0(R_0^2)$ where $R_0 > 0$. Here $D_{(p_1,p_2)}(R_1, R_2) := \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |z - p_1| < R_1, |w - p_2| < R_2\}$ is an open polydisc in $\mathbb{C}^2$, $B_p(R) := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - p| < R\}$ is an open disc in $\mathbb{C}$. Suppose $\pi(x, y) = xy$, $X_t = \pi^{-1}(t)$. Let F be a holomorphic function defined on X such that F is not identical to zero on each irreducible components of X_t for every $t \in \Delta$. Define $f_t = F|_{X_t}$. Suppose $U = D_0(R, R)$ with $0 < R \leq R_0$, $U_t = X_t \cap U$.

Let g be the Euclidean metric on X, which means that $ds^2 = dx \otimes d\bar{x} + dy \otimes d\bar{y}$. Define X_t^* to be the smooth locus of X_t, hence X_t^* is a complex manifold, and for $t \neq 0$ we have $X_t^* = X_t$. Define dV_t to be the volume form of $g|_{X_t^*}$ on X_t^*.

The following lemma provide basic calculations about dV_t:

Lemma 4.1. *Define $dV_x = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} dx \wedge d\overline{x}$, similarly for dV_y. Then on $X_t = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid xy = t\}$, we have*

$$dV_t = dV_x + dV_y = \frac{|x|^2 + |y^2|}{|x|^2} dV_x.$$

Define annulus $A(a, b) := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid a < |z| < b\}$. Define

$$K_t(R) = \int_{U_t} \frac{dV_t}{|f_t|^{2c}}, \quad I_t(R) = \int_{A\left(\frac{|t|}{R},R\right)} \frac{dV_x}{|f_t|^{2c}}, \quad J_t(R) = \int_{A\left(\frac{|t|}{R},R\right)} \frac{dV_y}{|f_t|^{2c}}.$$

Then we have

$$K_t(R) = I_t(R) + J_t(R).$$

Proof. The proof is quite straight forward, so we omit it. □

Let $\mathbb{C}\{x,y\}$ be the ring of power series of x,y in complex coefficients which is convergent in some neighborhood of $(0,0)\in\mathbb{C}^2$. Weierstrass preparation theorem implies that $\mathbb{C}\{x,y\}$ is a UFD.

The key to the proof of Theorem 1.5 is the following:

Lemma 4.2 ([C-A00] 1.8.5)**.** *If $f\in\mathbb{C}\{x,y\}$ is irreducible, then the Newton polygon of f contains only one segment.*

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Since F is not identically to zero on each components of X_0, F must contain monomials of x^k and y^l for some k and l.

By Weierstrass preparation theorem, there exists $h_1,h_2\in\mathbb{C}\{x,y\}$ with $h(0,0)\neq 0$ and Weierstrass polynomials $F_1(x)$ and $F_2(y)$ such that $F=h_1\cdot F_1=h_2\cdot F_2$. For sufficiently small R, h_1 and h_2 are both nonzero in $D_0(R,R)$, with $|h_1|$, $|h_2|$, $|h_1^{-1}|$ and $|h_2^{-1}|$ all less than M in $D_0(R,R)$.

According to Lemma 4.2, the Newton polygon γ of F contains only one segment. Denote the two endpoints of γ to be $(k,0)$ and $(0,l)$. It is easy to see that the Newton polygons of G_1 and G_2 are the same as γ.

Choose $s\in\mathbb{C}$ such that $t=s^{k+l}$, and $y:=t/x$, $z:=x/s^l$, $w:=y/s^k$, so $xy=t$ and $zw=1$.

Notice that the theorem is equivalent to $\lim_{t\to 0}K_t(R)=K_0(R)$. By symmetry of x and y, we need only to prove $\lim_{t\to 0}I_t(R)=I_0(R)$. Next, we use parameters (z,w) to express $I_t(R)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
I_t(R) &= \int_{A\left(\frac{|t|}{R},R\right)} \frac{dV_x}{|F(x,y)|^{2c}}\\
&= \int_{A\left(\frac{|s|^k}{R},\frac{R}{|s|^l}\right)} \frac{|s|^{2l}dV_z}{\left|F(s^lz,s^kw)\right|^{2c}}\\
&= |s|^{2l-2klc}\int_{A\left(\frac{|s|^k}{R},\frac{R}{|s|^l}\right)} \frac{dV_z}{\left|\frac{1}{s^{kl}}F(s^lz,s^kw)\right|^{2c}}\\
&= |s|^{2l-2klc}\int_{A\left(\frac{|s|^k}{R},\frac{R}{|s|^l}\right)} \frac{dV_z}{\left|\frac{1}{s^{kl}}F_1(s^lz,s^kw)\right|^{2c}\cdot|h_1(s^lz,s^kw)|^{2c}}
\end{aligned}$$

To prove the theorem, we divide the integral domain $A\left(\frac{|s|^k}{R},\frac{R}{|s|^l}\right)$ to three separately parts $A\left(\frac{1}{R_1},R_1\right)$, $A\left(R_1,\frac{R}{|s|^l}\right)$ and $A\left(\frac{|s|^k}{R},\frac{1}{R_1}\right)$. Denote

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{t,1}(R,R_1) &:= |s|^{2l-2klc}\int_{A\left(\frac{1}{R_1},R_1\right)} \frac{dV_z}{\left|\frac{1}{s^{kl}}F(s^lz,s^kw)\right|^{2c}},\\
I_{t,2}(R,R_1) &:= |s|^{2l-2klc}\int_{A\left(R_1,\frac{R}{|s|^l}\right)} \frac{dV_z}{\left|\frac{1}{s^{kl}}F(s^lz,s^kw)\right|^{2c}},\\
I_{t,3}(R,R_1) &:= |s|^{2l-2klc}\int_{A\left(\frac{|s|^k}{R},\frac{1}{R_1}\right)} \frac{dV_z}{\left|\frac{1}{s^{kl}}F(s^lz,s^kw)\right|^{2c}}.
\end{aligned}$$

So $I_t(R) = I_{t,1}(R,R_1) + I_{t,2}(R,R_1) + I_{t,3}(R,R_1)$. Similarly we denote $J_{t,i}(R,R_1)$ by interchange x and y.

We shall estimate $I_{t,1}(R,R_1)$ at first. Suppose $F_1(z,w) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} a_{m,n} z^m w^n$, let $G_1(z,w) := \sum_{lm+kn=kl} a_{m,n} z^m w^n$ be the sum of monomials of F that occur in γ.

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{s^{kl}} F_1(s^l z, s^k w) - G_1(z,w) &= \frac{1}{s^{kl}} F_1(s^l z, s^k w) - \frac{1}{s^{kl}} G_1(s^l z, s^k w) \\
&= \frac{1}{s^{kl}} \sum_{lm+kn \geq kl+1} s^{lm+kn} a_{m,n} z^m w^n \\
&= \frac{1}{s^{kl}} \left(s^{kl+1} \cdot H_1(s,z,w) \right) \\
&= s \cdot H_1(s,z,w).
\end{aligned}$$

Here $H_1(s,z,w) := \sum_{lm+kn \geq kl+1} s^{lm+kn-kl-1} a_{m,n} z^m w^n$ is a holomorphic function of s, z and w.

For every $R_1 > 1$, $H_1(s,z,w)$ is bounded for small $|s|$ and $z \in A\left(\frac{1}{R_1}, R_1\right)$. Therefore, as $s \to 0$ we have the following uniform convergence:

$$\frac{1}{s^{kl}} F_1(s^l z, s^k w) \rightrightarrows G_1(z,w) \text{ in } A\left(\frac{1}{R_1}, R_1\right).$$

Here we treat z as a single variable for $w = 1/z$.

Notice that $G_1(z,w)$ is a quasi-homogeneous polynomial of z, w which contains monomials z^k and w^l, thus the order of zero point of $F_1(z,1/z)$ is at most $\max\{k,l\}$. Here we assume $c < c_0(f_0) = \frac{1}{\max\{k,l\}}$, so

$$\int_{A\left(\frac{1}{R_1}, R_1\right)} \frac{dV_z}{|G_1(z,w)|^{2c}} < +\infty.$$

Therefore, we have the following equality by using Theorem 1.2:

$$\lim_{s \to 0} \int_{A\left(\frac{1}{R_1}, R_1\right)} \frac{dV_z}{\left|\frac{1}{s^{kl}} F_1(s^l z, s^k w)\right|^{2c}} = \int_{A\left(\frac{1}{R_1}, R_1\right)} \frac{dV_z}{|G_1(z,w)|^{2c}}.$$

Because $2l - 2klc = 2l(1-kc) > 0$, $\lim_{s \to 0} |s|^{2l-2klc} = 0$, thus

$$\lim_{s \to 0} |s|^{2l-2klc} \int_{A\left(\frac{1}{R_1}, R_1\right)} \frac{dV_z}{\left|\frac{1}{s^{kl}} F_1(s^l z, s^k w)\right|^{2c}} = 0.$$

Since $\left|F(s^l z, s^k w)\right| \leq M \cdot \left|F_1(s^l z, s^k w)\right|$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\lim_{s \to 0}}\, I_{t,1}(R,R_1) &= \overline{\lim_{s \to 0}}\, |s|^{2l-2klc} \int_{A\left(\frac{1}{R_1}, R_1\right)} \frac{dV_z}{\left|\frac{1}{s^{kl}} F(s^l z, s^k w)\right|^{2c}} \\
&\leq M^{2c} \cdot \lim_{s \to 0} |s|^{2l-2klc} \int_{A\left(\frac{1}{R_1}, R_1\right)} \frac{dV_z}{\left|\frac{1}{s^{kl}} F_1(s^l z, s^k w)\right|^{2c}} \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\lim_{s\to 0} I_{t,1}(R, R_1) = 0. \tag{4.1}$$

Next, we shall estimate $I_{t,2}(R, R_1)$.

Since $F_1(z, w)$ is a Weierstrass polynomial of z, we have $\deg_z H_1(s, z, w) \leq k-1$.

Let $H_1(s, z, w) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} H_{1,i}(s, w) \cdot z^i$. When $|s| < \delta_1$, $|z| \geq R_1$ for some $\delta_1 > 0$, $R_1 > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{|s| \cdot |H_1(s, z, w)|}{|z|^k} &\leq |s| \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{|H_{1,i}(s, w)|}{|z|^{k-i}} \\ &\leq \frac{\delta_1}{|z|} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \sup_{D_0(\delta_1, 1/R_1)} |H_{1,i}(s, w)| \\ &\leq \frac{\delta_1}{|z|} \cdot C(\delta_1, R_1).\end{aligned}$$

Here $C(\delta_1, R_1)$ is a constant depending on δ_1 and R_1, and $C(\delta_1, R_1) \geq C(\delta_1', R_1')$ if $\delta_1 \geq \delta_1'$ and $R_1 \leq R_1'$.

Therefore, for every $0 < \epsilon_1 < 1$ and any fixed $\delta_1 > 0$, there exists R_1 sufficiently large such that for every $|z| \geq R_1$ and $|s| \leq \delta_1$ we have

$$\frac{|s| \cdot |H_1(s, z, w)|}{|z|^k} \leq \frac{\epsilon_1}{2}.$$

On the other hand, since G_1 is a quasi-homogeneous polynomial of z and w, and G_1 is monic of z, we have

$$\lim_{z\to\infty} \frac{F_1(z, w)}{z^k} = 1.$$

So when R_1 is sufficiently large, for every $|z| \geq R_1$ we have

$$1 - \frac{\epsilon_1}{2} \leq \frac{|F_1(z, w)|}{|z|^k} \leq 1 + \frac{\epsilon_1}{2}.$$

Since $\frac{1}{s^{kl}} F_1(s^l z, s^k w) = G_1(z, w) + s \cdot H_1(s, z, w)$, we can pick R_1 sufficiently large and $\delta_1 > 0$, such that for every $|z| \geq R_1$ and $|s| \leq \delta_1$, we have

$$1 - \epsilon_1 \leq \frac{\left|\frac{1}{s^{kl}} F_1(s^l z, s^k w)\right|}{|z|^k} \leq 1 + \epsilon_1,$$

which also means

$$1 - \epsilon_1 \leq \frac{\left|\frac{1}{s^{kl}} F(s^l z, s^k w)\right|}{|h_1(s^l z, s^k w) \cdot z^k|} \leq 1 + \epsilon_1.$$

Therefore, for every $|s| \leq \delta_1$ we have

$$(1 + \epsilon_1)^{-2c} \leq \frac{I_{t,2}(R, R_1)}{|s|^{2l-2klc} \int_{A\left(R_1, \frac{R}{|s|^l}\right)} \frac{dV_z}{\left|h_1(s^l z, s^k w) \cdot z^k\right|^{2c}}} \leq (1 - \epsilon_1)^{-2c}.$$

Notice that

$$|s|^{2l-2klc}\int_{A\left(R_1,\frac{R}{|s|^l}\right)}\frac{dV_z}{\left|h_1(s^lz,s^kw)\cdot z^k\right|^{2c}}=\int_{A\left(|s|^lR_1,R\right)}\frac{dV_x}{\left|h_1\left(x,\frac{t}{x}\right)\cdot G_1(x,0)\right|^{2c}}.$$

If $|x|\geq|s|^lR_1$, then $|t/x|\leq|s|^k/R_1\leq|s|^k$. So there exists $\delta_2\in(0,\delta_1)$ such that for any $x\in A\left(|s|^lR_1,R\right)$ and $|s|\leq\delta_2$, we have

$$1-\epsilon_1\leq\frac{\left|h_1\left(x,\frac{t}{x}\right)\right|}{|h_1(x,0)|}\leq1+\epsilon_1,$$

which also means

$$1-\epsilon_1\leq\frac{\left|h_1\left(x,\frac{t}{x}\right)\cdot G_1(x,0)\right|}{|F(x,0)|}\leq1+\epsilon_1.$$

Therefore, we have

$$(1+\epsilon_1)^{-2c}\leq\frac{|s|^{2l-2klc}\int_{A\left(|s|^lR_1,R\right)}\frac{dV_z}{\left|h_1(s^lz,s^kw)\cdot z^k\right|^{2c}}}{\int_{A\left(|s|^lR_1,R\right)}\frac{dV_x}{|F(x,0)|^{2c}}}\leq(1-\epsilon_1)^{-2c}.$$

Combine these two inequalities together, we have

$$(1+\epsilon_1)^{-4c}\leq\frac{I_{t,2}(R,R_1)}{\int_{A\left(|s|^lR_1,R\right)}\frac{dV_x}{|F(x,0)|^{2c}}}\leq(1-\epsilon_1)^{-4c}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\lim_{s\to0}\int_{A\left(|s|^lR_1,R\right)}\frac{dV_x}{|F(x,0)|^{2c}}=\int_{A(0,R)}\frac{dV_x}{|F(x,0)|^{2c}}=I_0(R).$$

Therefore, we have the following two inequalities.

$$\varliminf_{s\to0}I_{t,2}(R,R_1)\geq(1+\epsilon_1)^{-4c}I_0(R).\tag{4.2}$$

$$\varlimsup_{s\to0}I_{t,2}(R,R_1)\leq(1-\epsilon_1)^{-4c}I_0(R).\tag{4.3}$$

Finally, we shall estimate $I_{t,3}(R,R_1)$. We have the following equality:

$$\begin{aligned}I_{t,3}(R,R_1)&=|s|^{2l-2klc}\int_{A\left(\frac{|s|^k}{R},\frac{1}{R_1}\right)}\frac{dV_z}{\left|\frac{1}{s^{kl}}F(s^lz,s^kw)\right|^{2c}}\\&=|s|^{2l-2klc}\int_{A\left(R_1,\frac{R}{|s|^k}\right)}\frac{dV_w}{\left|\frac{1}{s^{kl}}F(s^lz,s^kw)\right|^{2c}\cdot|w|^4}\end{aligned}$$

We define $G_2(z,w)$ and $H_2(s,z,w)$ similarly. Because of the same reason in the discussion about $I_{t,1}(R,R_1)$, for $\epsilon_1>0$ there exists sufficiently large R_1 such that for any $w\in A\left(R_1,\frac{R}{|s|^k}\right)$, we have

$$1-\epsilon_1\leq\frac{\left|\frac{1}{s^{kl}}F(s^lz,s^kw)\right|}{\left|h_2(s^lz,s^kw)\cdot w^l\right|}\leq1+\epsilon_1.$$

So for any $w \in A\left(R_1, \frac{R}{|s|^k}\right)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\frac{1}{s^{kl}}F(s^l z, s^k w)\right| &\geq (1-\epsilon_1)\left|h_2(s^l z, s^k w)\right| \cdot |w|^l \\ &\geq \frac{1-\epsilon_1}{M} \cdot |w|^l.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}I_{t,3}(R, R_1) &= |s|^{2l-2klc} \int_{A\left(R_1, \frac{R}{|s|^k}\right)} \frac{dV_w}{\left|\frac{1}{s^{kl}}F(s^l z, s^k w)\right|^{2c} \cdot |w|^4} \\ &\leq |s|^{2l-2klc} \int_{A\left(R_1, \frac{R}{|s|^k}\right)} \frac{dV_w}{\left(\frac{1-\epsilon_1}{M} \cdot |w|^l\right)^{2c} \cdot |w|^4} \\ &= \frac{M^{2c}}{(1-\epsilon_1)^{2c}} |s|^{2l-2klc} \int_{A\left(R_1, \frac{R}{|s|^k}\right)} \frac{dV_w}{|w|^{2lc+4}} \\ &= \frac{M^{2c}}{(1-\epsilon_1)^{2c}} |s|^{2l-2klc} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \int_{R_1}^{\frac{R}{|s|^k}} r^{-2lc-4} \cdot r dr \\ &\leq \frac{2\pi M^{2c}}{(1-\epsilon_1)^{2c}} |s|^{2l-2klc} \int_{R_1}^{+\infty} r^{-2lc-3} dr \\ &= \frac{2\pi M^{2c}}{(1-\epsilon_1)^{2c}} \cdot \frac{R_1^{-2lc-2}}{2lc+2} |s|^{2l-2klc}.\end{aligned}$$

As a result, we have

$$\varlimsup_{s\to 0} I_{t,3}(R, R_1) \leq \lim_{s\to 0} \frac{2\pi M^{2c}}{(1-\epsilon_1)^{2c}} \cdot \frac{R_1^{-2lc-2}}{2lc+2} |s|^{2l-2klc} = 0,$$

which means

$$\lim_{s\to 0} I_{t,3}(R, R_1) = 0. \tag{4.4}$$

Combining (4.1), (4.2), (4.3) and (4.4) together, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\varlimsup_{s\to 0} I_t(R) &= \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{3} \varlimsup_{s\to 0} I_{t,i}(R, R_1) \\ &= \varlimsup_{s\to 0} I_{t,2}(R, R_1) \\ &\leq (1-\epsilon_1)^{-4c} I_0(R). \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Similarly,

$$\varliminf_{s\to 0} I_t(R) \geq (1+\epsilon_1)^{-4c} I_0(R). \tag{4.6}$$

When $\epsilon_1 \to 0$, the limits of (4.5) and (4.6) are the following:

$$I_0(R) \leq \varliminf_{s\to 0} I_t(R) \leq \varlimsup_{s\to 0} I_t(R) \leq I_0(R),$$

which means

$$\lim_{t\to 0} I_t(R) = \lim_{s\to 0} I_t(R) = I_0(R).$$

Hence we finish the proof. □

At the end of this section, we may show the existence of uniform upper bounds for integrals along fibers as a direct corollary of Theorem 1.5.

Theorem 4.3. *For any* $F \in \mathbb{C}\{x, y\}$ *and any* $0 < c < c_0(f_0)$*, there exists* $U = D_0(R, R)$*,* $\delta > 0$ *and* $M = M(R, F, c, \delta) > 0$*, such that the inequality*

$$\int_{U_t} \frac{dV_t}{|f_t|^{2c}} \leq M$$

holds for all $|t| \leq \delta$*.*

Lemma 4.4. *If Theorem 4.3 is true for* F_1 *and* F_2*, then it is also true for* $F := F_1 \cdot F_2$*.*

Proof. Suppose $c_0(F_i) = \frac{1}{l_i}$, then the multiplicity of F at 0 when restricts to X_0 is at least $l := l_1 + l_2$, thus $c_0(F \cdot G) \leq \frac{1}{l}$.

For every $c < \frac{1}{l} \leq c_0(F)$, by Young's inequality we have

$$|F|^{-2c} \leq \frac{l_1}{l}|F_1|^{-2c \cdot \frac{l}{l_1}} + \frac{l_2}{l}|F_2|^{-2c \cdot \frac{l}{l_2}}.$$

Here $c_i = c \cdot \frac{l}{l_i} < c_0(F_i)$.

Hence for every $|t| < \min(\delta_1, \delta_2)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{U_t} \frac{dV_t}{|F|^{2c}} &\leq \frac{l_1}{l} \int_{U_t} \frac{dV_t}{|F_1|^{2c_1}} + \frac{l_2}{l} \int_{U_t} \frac{dV_t}{|F_2|^{2c_2}} \\ &\leq \frac{l_1}{l} \cdot M_1 + \frac{l_2}{l} \cdot M_2. \end{aligned}$$

Define $M := \frac{l_1}{l} \cdot M_1 + \frac{l_2}{l} \cdot M_2$, hence Theorem 4.3 is true for F. □

Proof of Theorem 4.3. Let $\mathcal{S} = \{F \in \mathbb{C}\{x, y\} \mid$ *Theorem 4.3 is true for* $F\}$.

By Theorem 1.5, $\mathcal{S}$ contains all irreducible elements of $\mathbb{C}\{x, y\}$; by Lemma 4.4, $\mathcal{S}$ is closed under multiplication. Since $\mathbb{C}\{x, y\}$ is a UFD, we have $\mathcal{S} = \mathbb{C}\{x, y\}$, which means that Theorem 4.3 is true for every $F \in \mathbb{C}\{x, y\}$. □

Remark 4.5. The proof of Theorem 1.5 cannot be generalized to higher dimensions directly, since Lemma 4.2 is usually false in higher dimensions.

5 Counterexamples in non-holomorphic families

In Section 3, we prove the semi-continuity of complex singularity exponents for holomorphic families. However, Example 1.6 shows that this is not true for non-holomorphic families. Moreover, we construct a sequence of counterexamples (see Example 5.2) to the semi-continuity property for non-holomorphic families in this section. The families in Example 5.2 are $C_{loc}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}$ for arbitrary large n but not C^∞.

For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $V_n := \{q(z^2) + c \cdot z^{2n+1} \mid q(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z], \deg q \leq 2n+1, c \in \mathbb{C}\}$, then V_n is a complex linear subspace of $\mathbb{C}[z]$ with $\dim V_n = 2n+3$. Define $W_n := \{P(z) \in V_n : (z-1)^{2n+2} \mid P(z)\}$. We have $W_n \neq 0$ because $\dim V_n = 2n+3 > 2n+2 = \deg(z-1)^{2n+2}$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\mathcal{N} = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : W_n$ contains a polynomial $P_n(z)$ with the z^{4n+2} term and constant term both nonzero.$\}$, then $\mathcal{N}$ is an infinite set.*

Proof. Suppose the conclusion is not true, then there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $n \geq N$ and every nonzero $P_n(z) \in W_n$, at least one of z^{4n+2} term and constant term of p_n vanishes.

In the rest part of the proof we always assume that $n > N$.

If the constant term of P_n is nonzero and the z^{4n+2} term of P_n is zero, let $\widetilde{P_n}(z) := P_n(z) + z^{4n+2} \cdot P_n(1/z)$, then of course $(z-1)^{2n+2} \mid \widetilde{P_n}(z)$, thus $\widetilde{P_n}(z) \in W_n$ with z^{4n+2} term and constant term both nonzero, which contradicts to our assumption. Hence the constant term of P_n must vanish, so does the z^{4n+2} term.

Because the z^{4n+2} term and constant term of P_n both vanishes, $P_n(z)/z^2$ must belong to W_{n-1}, then we may denote $P_{n-1}(z) := P_n(z)/z^2$. After same argument applying to P_{n-1}, we will get $P_{n-2}(z) := P_{n-1}(z)/z^2$. Repeat this process to P_{n-k} for $k = n - N$, finally we have that $P_n(z) = z^{2k} \cdot P_{n-k}(z)$ and $P_{n-k} \in W_{n-k}$.

Since $(z-1)^{2n+2} \mid \widetilde{P_n}(z)$, we have $(z-1)^{2n+2} \mid P_{n-k}(z)$, thus $2n+2 \leq \deg P_{n-k} \leq 4N+2$, i.e. $n \leq 2N$, which contradicts to our assumption that n can be sufficiently large. Hence the lemma is proved. ☐

Next, we construct a sequence of counterexamples to the semi-continuity property for non-holomorphic families.

Example 5.2. *Suppose $n \in \mathcal{N}$. Let $P_n(z) = q_n(z^2) + c_n \cdot z^{2n+1}$. Define $Q_n(x,y) := q_n(x/y) \cdot y^{2n+1}$, $F_n(x,y) = Q_n(x,y) + c_n \cdot |xy|^{\frac{2n+1}{2}}$. Then for every $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $s = \sqrt{t} \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we have*

$$c_{(s,s)}(F_n|_{X_t}) \leq \frac{1}{2n+2} < \frac{1}{2n+1} = c_{(0,0)}(F_n|_{X_0}).$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{2n+1} = c_{(0,0)}(F_n|_{X_0}) > \frac{1}{2n+2} \geq \varliminf_{s \to 0} c_{(s,s)}(F_n|_{X_t}).$$

Proof. We shall estimate $c_{(s,s)}(F_n|_{X_t})$ first. we have

$$\begin{aligned}
F_n\left(x, \frac{t}{x}\right) &= Q_n\left(x, \frac{t}{x}\right) + c_n \cdot t^{\frac{2n+1}{2}} \\
&= q_n\left(\frac{x^2}{t}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{t}{x}\right)^{2n+1} + c_n \cdot t^{\frac{2n+1}{2}} \\
&= q_n\left(\left(\frac{x}{s}\right)^2\right) \cdot \frac{s^{4n+2}}{x^{2n+1}} + c_n \cdot s^{2n+1} \\
&= \frac{s^{4n+2}}{x^{2n+1}} \cdot \left(q_n\left(\left(\frac{x}{s}\right)^2\right) + c_n \cdot \left(\frac{x}{s}\right)^{2n+1}\right) \\
&= \frac{s^{4n+2}}{x^{2n+1}} \cdot p_n\left(\frac{x}{s}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_{(s,s)}(F_n|_{X_t}) &= \frac{1}{ord_{x=s}(F_n(x,t/x))} \\ &= \frac{1}{ord_{x=s}(p_n(x/s))} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2n+2}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, $F_n(x,y) = Q_n(x,y)$ is a homogenous polynomial with degree $2n+1$ when $xy = 0$. Besides, x^{2n+1} term and y^{2n+1} term both occur in $Q_n(x,y)$. Consequently, $c_{(0,0)}(F_n|_{X_0}) = \frac{1}{2n+1}$, hence we finish our proof. ☐

Next proposition shows that for any $n \in \mathcal{N}$, $F_n \in C^{n,\frac{1}{2}}_{loc}(\mathbb{C}^2)$ and $F_n \notin C^\infty(\mathbb{C}^2)$, hence F_n is not holomorphic.

Proposition 5.3. *For every $n \in \mathcal{N}$, $F_n \in C^{n,\frac{1}{2}}_{loc}(\mathbb{C}^2)$ while $F_n \notin C^\infty(\mathbb{C}^2)$.*

Proof. We notice that $Q_n(x,y)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of x, y, so $Q_n \in C^\infty(\mathbb{C}^2)$. Thus to prove the proposition it is sufficient to prove that $|xy|^{\frac{2n+1}{2}} \in C^{n,\frac{1}{2}}_{loc}(\mathbb{C}^2)$.

It can be easily verified that $|z|^{n+\alpha} \in C^{n,\alpha}_{loc}(\mathbb{C})$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$, so $|z|^{\frac{2n+1}{2}} \in C^{n,\frac{1}{2}}_{loc}(\mathbb{C})$. By composing $|z|^{\frac{2n+1}{2}}$ with the C^∞ function $z = xy$, we have $|xy|^{\frac{2n+1}{2}} \in C^{n,\frac{1}{2}}_{loc}(\mathbb{C}^2)$. It can also be easily verified that $|xy|^{n+\alpha} \notin C^\infty(\mathbb{C}^2)$, thus we prove the proposition. ☐

Since $\mathcal{N}$ is an infinite set, for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $n \in \mathcal{N}$ and $n > N$ such that $F_n \in C^N_{loc}(\mathbb{C}^2)$. Thus the families in Example 5.2 are $C^{n+\frac{1}{2}}_{loc}$ for arbitrary large n but not C^∞.

References

[Ale94] V. Alexeev: *Boundedness and K^2 for log surfaces.* International J. Math. 5 (1994), 779-810.

[C-A00] E. Casas-Alvero: *Singularities of Plane Curves.* London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 276. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.

[dFLM09] T. de Fernex, L. Ein, and M. Mustaţă: *Shokurov's ACC Conjecture for log canonical thresholds on smooth varieties.* Duke Math. J. 152 (2010), no. 1, 93-114.

[dFLM11] T. de Fernex, L. Ein, and M. Mustaţă: *Log canonical thresholds on varieties with bounded singularities.* Classification of algebraic varieites, 221-257, EMS Ser. Congr. Rep., Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2011.

[DK01] J.-P. Demailly and J. Kollár: *Semi-continuity of complex singularity exponents and Kähler-Einstein metrics on Fano orbifolds.* Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 34(2001), no. 4, 525-556.

[HMX12] C. Hacon, J. McKernan and C. Xu: *ACC for log canonical thresholds.* Preprint available at `arXiv:1208.4150`.

[Kol94] J. Kollár: *Log surfaces of general type; some conjectures.* Classification of algebraic varieties (L'Aquila, 1992), 261-275, Contemp. Math., 162, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.

[Kol97] J. Kollár: *Singularities of pairs.* Algebraic geometry—Santa Cruz 1995, 221-287, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 62, part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997.

[Kol08] J. Kollár: *Which powers of holomorphic functions are integrable?* Preprint available at `arXiv:0805.0756`.

[KM98] J. Kollár and S. Mori: *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties.* With the collaboration of C. H. Clemens and A. Corti. Translated from the 1998 Japanese original. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 134. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.

[Mus11] M. Mustaţă: *IMPANGA lecture notes on log canonical thresholds.* Preprint available at `arXiv:1107.2676`.

[PS00] D. H. Phong and J. Sturm: *Algebraic estimates, stability of local zeta functions, and uniform estimates for distribution functions.* Ann. of Math. (2) 152 (2000), no. 1, 277-329.

[Sho92] V. V. Shokurov: *Three-dimensional log perestroikas.* (Russian) With an appendix in English by Yujiro Kawamata. Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat. 56 (1992), no. 1, 105–203; translation in Russian Acad. Sci. Izv. Math. 40 (1993), no. 1, 95-202.

[Tian89] G. Tian: *On Calabi's conjecture for complex surfaces with positive first Chern class.* Invent. Math. 101 (1990), no. 1, 101-172.

[Var83] A. N. Varchenko: *Semicontinuity of the complex singularity exponent.* (Russian) Funksional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 17 (1983), no.4, 77-78.

School of Mathematical Sciences, Peking University,
Beijing, 100871, P. R. China.
Email: jerry.liu1022@gmail.com